National Consumption-Based Tax Reform Proposal – Complete Packet

National Consumption-Based Tax Reform Proposal

A National Consumption-Based Tax Reform Framework

This document outlines a proposed national tax reform framework for the United States that eliminates federal income taxes and property taxes, replacing them with a consumption-based system. The goal is to improve economic growth, housing affordability, tax transparency, and fiscal resilience during recessions while remaining revenue-neutral.

Executive Summary

The current U.S. tax system relies heavily on income and property taxation, which penalizes work, savings, investment, and homeownership while amplifying economic downturns. This proposal replaces those taxes with a national consumption-based system centered on a Value Added Tax (VAT), a reduced retail sales tax, and targeted use-based fees. The system shifts taxation from productivity to consumption, broadens the tax base, and improves fairness by ensuring that all participants in the U.S. economy contribute.

Core Principles

- Eliminate federal individual and corporate income taxes
- Eliminate property taxes on primary residences
- Tax consumption rather than earnings or ownership
- Ensure revenue neutrality over the business cycle
- Protect low-income households through rebates
- Automatically stabilize revenue during recessions

Proposed Tax Structure

1. National Value Added Tax (VAT)

A national VAT of approximately 8–10% would apply broadly to goods and services, with credits for business inputs and zero-rating for exports. Imports would be taxed at the border. VAT revenue would fund core federal obligations including Social Security, Medicare, national defense, and infrastructure.

2. Reduced Retail Sales Tax

A visible retail sales tax of approximately 6–8% would apply at the point of final sale. Groceries, rent, healthcare, and education would be exempt or rebated. This maintains tax transparency and consumer awareness.

3. Immigration and Residency Fees

New immigrants and temporary workers would contribute through structured entry and residency fees reflecting the public services they access. Fees would be tiered by visa category and skill level, ensuring fairness and fiscal sustainability.

4. Tourism and Visitor Taxes

Foreign visitors would contribute through arrival fees, hotel taxes, and rental surcharges, ensuring that non-residents help fund infrastructure and security.

5. Targeted Excise Taxes

Limited excise taxes would remain on fuel, alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, and luxury goods to fund specific public costs and discourage harmful externalities.

Revenue Capacity (Illustrative)

- VAT (9%): approximately $2.3–2.6 trillion
- Retail sales tax: approximately $1.0–1.3 trillion
- Excise, immigration, and tourism fees: approximately $0.6–0.8 trillion
- Total replacement capacity: approximately $4.0–4.7 trillion annually

Economic & Household Impacts

- Typical working households see a 10–20% increase in take-home pay
- Homeownership costs fall sharply with elimination of property taxes
- Savings and investment increase due to untaxed earnings
- Small business formation accelerates
- Reduced foreclosure risk during recessions

Recession & Inflation Performance

Consumption-based taxation is less volatile than income taxation during recessions, resulting in more stable government revenue. The system produces at most a one-time price level adjustment during transition rather than sustained inflation. Automatic rebates and counter-cyclical VAT adjustments further stabilize demand.

Governance Safeguards

- Constitutional caps on VAT and sales tax rates
- Supermajority requirement for rate increases
- Automatic rate rollback after declared emergencies
- Balanced-budget requirements over the business cycle

Conclusion

A national consumption-based tax system offers a credible path to a simpler, fairer, and more resilient U.S. fiscal framework. By eliminating taxes on work and homeownership and replacing them with broad-based consumption taxes, the United States can promote economic growth, improve housing affordability, and reduce the severity of future recessions while maintaining fiscal responsibility.

Addendum: Frequently Asked Questions & Comparative Analysis

This addendum accompanies the National Consumption-Based Tax Reform Framework. It addresses common questions and objections raised by members of both major political parties and provides a comparative appendix contrasting the proposed system with the current federal tax structure.

Part I: Frequently Asked Questions (Bipartisan)

Is this proposal regressive?

No. While consumption taxes can be regressive in isolation, this system includes automatic rebates covering poverty-level consumption and eliminates income and payroll taxes that currently burden low- and middle-income workers. Most households below the median income experience a net tax reduction.

Would prices rise dramatically?

Evidence from VAT transitions worldwide shows a one-time price level adjustment, typically 2–4%, not sustained inflation. Eliminating income and property taxes offsets much of the price impact through higher take-home pay and lower housing costs.

How are retirees protected?

Retirees benefit significantly because Social Security and retirement income are no longer taxed. Rebates ensure basic consumption remains affordable, and elimination of property taxes reduces the risk of displacement for seniors aging in place.

Does this grow the size of government?

No. The proposal is explicitly revenue-neutral and includes constitutional tax caps, supermajority requirements for rate increases, and automatic rollback provisions to prevent tax creep.

How is Social Security and Medicare funded?

These programs are funded directly from VAT revenue, providing a broader and more stable funding base than payroll taxes, which collapse during recessions.

What about enforcement and tax evasion?

VAT systems are harder to evade than income taxes because tax is collected at multiple points in the supply chain. This reduces the tax gap and enforcement burden.

Part II: Addressing Party-Specific Concerns

Common Democratic Concerns

- Equity is preserved through rebates and elimination of regressive payroll taxes.
- Housing affordability improves through elimination of property taxes.
- Revenue stability strengthens funding for social programs during recessions.

Common Republican Concerns

- Taxes on work, savings, and investment are eliminated.
- The system simplifies compliance and reduces IRS scope.
- Consumption-based taxation encourages economic growth and capital formation.

Part III: Comparison Appendix — Current System vs Proposed System

Dimension	Current U.S. Tax System	Proposed Consumption-Based System
Tax Base	Income, payroll, property	Consumption
Work Incentives	Penalized via marginal tax rates	Fully retained earnings
Housing Impact	Property taxes raise carrying costs	No property tax; lower monthly costs
Recession Performance	Revenue collapses quickly	Revenue declines gradually
Compliance Burden	High; complex filing requirements	Lower; embedded in transactions
Non-Resident Contribution	Limited	Automatic via consumption
Political Transparency	Low; withholding hides cost	High; visible at point of sale

Closing Note

This FAQ and comparison appendix is intended to support constructive, bipartisan discussion around comprehensive tax reform. The proposed system does not seek to advance partisan goals but rather to address structural weaknesses in the current tax code that affect economic growth, housing affordability, and fiscal resilience.

Appendix: Retirement & Tax-Advantaged Accounts Under a National Consumption-Based Tax System

This appendix supplements the National Consumption-Based Tax Reform Framework by explaining how retirement accounts and other tax-advantaged savings vehicles would be treated under a system that eliminates income and property taxes and relies primarily on consumption-based taxation. The guiding principle is protection of existing savers, simplicity, and neutrality toward saving and investment.

Guiding Principles

- No retroactive taxation or forced conversion of existing accounts
- Savings, investment growth, and withdrawals are not taxed
- Taxes apply only at the point of consumption

- Retirement security is strengthened, not weakened

Treatment of Existing Accounts (Grandfathering)

All existing retirement and health savings accounts are fully grandfathered. Account holders retain all balances, contribution histories, and withdrawal rights. No penalties, clawbacks, or forced changes apply. This preserves trust and prevents disruption for individuals who planned under the prior tax code.

Account-by-Account Treatment

Traditional IRA & Traditional 401(k)

Under the current system, withdrawals from traditional retirement accounts are taxed as ordinary income. With the elimination of income taxation, withdrawals would no longer be taxed. These accounts effectively become locked savings vehicles with no tax consequences upon distribution.

Required Minimum Distributions (RMDs) would likely be eliminated, as they serve no purpose without income taxation.

Roth IRA & Roth 401(k)

Roth accounts remain fully intact. Contributions are made from untaxed earnings, investment growth remains tax-free, and withdrawals remain untaxed. The distinction between Roth and traditional accounts becomes less significant, but Roth accounts retain advantages for estate planning and predictability.

Employer-Sponsored Retirement Plans

Employer-sponsored plans such as 401(k)s continue to serve an important role in retirement readiness through automatic enrollment, payroll deduction, and employer matching. While the tax incentive changes, employer matching remains a powerful tool for workforce retention and long-term saving.

Health Savings Accounts (HSAs)

HSAs align naturally with a consumption-based tax system. Healthcare spending is exempt or rebated from consumption taxes, preserving the utility of HSAs as medical budgeting and long-term health savings tools. HSAs may also function as supplemental retirement accounts for future medical expenses.

Education Savings (529 Plans)

Education-related spending is exempt or rebated under the consumption-based system. 529 plans remain useful as structured education savings vehicles and for intergenerational transfers, with simplified tax treatment.

Future Simplification Options

Over time, Congress may choose to simplify the retirement savings landscape by consolidating multiple account types into a single Universal Savings Account. Such an

account could feature a single annual contribution cap, universal eligibility, and no penalties for withdrawals, while maintaining strong protections for long-term saving.

Impact on Retirees

Retirees are among the largest beneficiaries of a consumption-based tax system. They experience no taxation on retirement withdrawals, face no property tax pressure to sell homes, and gain greater control over tax exposure through spending choices. Fixed-income households benefit from increased predictability and reduced foreclosure risk.

Summary Table

Account Type	Treatment Under New System
Traditional IRA / 401(k)	Withdrawals untaxed; RMDs eliminated
Roth IRA / Roth 401(k)	No change; remains fully tax-free
Employer Match	Preserved; continues to incentivize saving
HSA	Preserved and potentially expanded
529 Plan	Preserved with simplified treatment

Conclusion

This retirement appendix demonstrates that a national consumption-based tax system can protect savers, strengthen retirement security, and simplify long-term planning. By removing taxes on earnings and withdrawals, the system rewards prudent saving while maintaining fairness and fiscal sustainability.

Appendix: Balanced Budget, National Security, and Civil Liberties Under a Consumption-Based Tax System

This appendix supplements the National Consumption-Based Tax Reform Proposal by outlining how a balanced or surplus federal budget can be achieved while maintaining national security, protecting civil liberties, and minimizing government overreach into private lives. The framework emphasizes structural discipline, automatic stabilizers, and privacy-preserving governance.

I. Core Fiscal Objective

The objective of this framework is to achieve a structurally balanced federal budget over the business cycle, with modest surpluses during economic expansions and controlled, temporary deficits during recessions.

II. Revenue Design for Stability and Surplus

- Broad-based federal Value Added Tax (VAT) with minimal exemptions

- Lower, visible retail sales tax for transparency
- Targeted excise taxes tied to specific public costs
- Immigration and tourism fees dedicated to security and infrastructure
- Automatic stabilization reserve funded during expansions

III. Spending Discipline Without Coercion

- Long-term growth-rate controls on mandatory spending
- Gradual Social Security and Medicare modernization
- Defense readiness and procurement reform

IV. National Security Without Mass Surveillance

- Warrant-based, case-specific investigations
- Mandatory sunset clauses on emergency powers
- Independent audits of surveillance authorities

V. Privacy-Preserving Tax Administration

- Elimination of routine individual income tax filing
- Business-level VAT enforcement only
- Strict limits on taxpayer data retention and sharing

VI. Guardrails Against Government Overreach

- Statutory or constitutional caps on VAT rates
- Supermajority requirement for tax increases
- Automatic rollback of temporary measures

Conclusion

This appendix demonstrates that fiscal responsibility, national security, and civil liberties can be jointly advanced through disciplined, transparent, and privacy-respecting policy design.

Attribution & Public Use License

This document was created and originally published by **TheOrderedLiberty**. It is intended for public reading, discussion, sharing, and adaptation in service of civic understanding and constructive dialogue.

Primary publication channels:
Instagram: **@TheOrderedLiberty**
Substack: **https://theorderedliberty.substack.com**

License

This work is licensed under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**.

What This Means (Plain Language)

You are free to share this document in full or in part, quote it, adapt it, or build upon its ideas, for any purpose and in any medium or format.

The sole requirement: Proper attribution must be given to **TheOrderedLiberty** as the original source.

Attribution Should Include

• The name **TheOrderedLiberty**
• The document title (if available)
• A link to the original publication, where possible

Attribution Example

“Originally published by TheOrderedLiberty. Licensed under CC BY 4.0.”

Authorship & Integrity

While adaptation and reuse are encouraged, no individual or organization may claim authorship of this work or its original framework without explicit permission from TheOrderedLiberty.

First published by **TheOrderedLiberty**
Publication date: 1/19/26